Individualized Education Program (IEP)

ect 1 Member: Jessica Kline ar	nd Emily Kuiper		
		IEP DATES	
IEP Team Meeting	Initial IEP	Annual/Review IEP	Reevaluation IEP
06/01/2016	Offer of a FAPE: 06/01/2016	Offer of a FAPE:	Offer of a FAPE:
	Implementation: 06/01/2016	Implementation:	Implementation:

Individualized Education Program (IEP)

Section 1 Demographic Information

Student	Last: Smith First:	Tony M:	Birth Date: 2/29/08	Gender: Male	Grade: 2nd	UIC:		
Address: 1234	West Street		City: Stoneybroo	City: Stoneybrook		Zip: 40000		
Phone: 555-7	721							
Resident Distri	ct: Stoneybrook Eler	nentary	Operating Distric	t: Stoneybrook	Community Scho	ols		
County:			Attending Buildin	ıg: Stoneybrool	< Elementary			
Parent	Last: Jones Firs M:	t: Kim	Relationship to S	tudent: Moth	ler			
Native Language or Other Communication Mode: English								
Address (if different):			City:		State:	Zip:		
Home Phone:	555-7721	Work Phone:		Pager/Cel	1:		·	
Email:								
Parent	Last: M:	First:	Relationship to Stud	elationship to Student:				
Native Languag	ge or Other Commun	ication Mode:						
Address (if diff	erent):		City:		State:	Zip:		
Home Phone:		Work Phone:		Pager/Cell:				
Email:								
	PURPOSE OF MEETING							
Check one of t	ne following:	Check all of	hers that apply:		-			
Initial IEP Change of Pla				Graduation	Other:			

	Change of Eligibility Other:	

Secondary Transition

Reevaluation IEP

OFFICE USE

PARENT CONTACT						
The parent/adult student was contacted to explain the purpose of the meeting and the roles and responsibilities of each participant via (check all that apply):						
IEP Invitation	IEP Invitation Letter Phone Other: email					
Results: Parents said t	hat they would both be	in attendance on 06/01/20	916.			
PARENTAL RIGHTS AND AGE OF MAJORITY						
Check all that apply:						

The student will be age 17 during this IEP and the student was informed of parental rights that he or she will receive at age 18. The student has turned age 18 and the student and parent were informed of parental rights that were transferred to the student at age 18, including the right to invite a support person such as a parent, advocate, or friend. The student has turned age 18 and there is a guardian established by court order. The guardian is:

The student has turned age 18 and a legally designated representative has been appointed. The representative is:

as (e.g., power of attorney, trustee).

IEP MEETING PARTICIPANTS IN ATTENDANCE

District Representative/Designee

Mrs. Special Education Teacher

General Education Teacher

Special Education Teacher

Check the box indicating the IEP participant(s) who can explain the instructional implications of evaluation results.

Mr. Smith, Principal

Cindy Hirsh

Student (must invite at age 16 and older)

Kim Jones

Parent

Parent

Agency Providing Secondary Transition Services (consent on file)

Other

Jane Doe, School Psychologist

Other

Emma Turner, School Social Worker

Other

Parent and District Agreement on Attendance Not Necessary

These members are absent; their curricular area/related services are not being modified or discussed in the meeting:

Parent and District Agreement on Excusal Prior to Meeting

These members are absent and have submitted written input to the IEP team, including the parent, prior to the meeting:

Section 2. ELIGIBILITY FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION (Part 1)

Eligible Ineligible

Area of disability (eligibility category): *Specific Learning Disability*

If the student is determined ineligible as a student with a specific learning disability (SLD), provide a statement of the basis for the determination of ineligibility:

If the student is determined eligible as a student with an SLD, check all that apply:

	Oral expression	Listening comprehension	Written expression	Basic reading skill			
	Reading fluency skills	Reading comprehension	Mathematics calculation	Mathematics problem solving			
-	Determination of eligibility was made in accordance with IDEA regulations at § 300.306(c)(1).						
	Determination of englowity was made in accordance with IDEA regulations at § 500.506(C)(1).						

Section 2 Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLAAFP)

FACTORS TO CONSIDER (Section 2. Part 1)

General

The IEP team must consider each of the following:

The strengths of the student:

Tony does well with hands-on learning, especially in science class. Tony also does well when given technology, such as an iPad, as an avenue for learning. Tony is a friendly, talkative, and creative kid who enjoys playing games. In reading, Tony has strong reading comprehension skills and can comprehend at a higher level than he can read the words. Tony does well with a scribe, which allows him to focus on learning activities and minimizes the anxiety of writing. Role models who provide positive feedback help to build up Tony's self-confidence.

The concerns of the parent for enhancing the education of the student:

Tony's mom, Kim Jones, is concerned about Tony's recent problem behaviors at school and at home. She is concerned about the crying and refusal that is displayed at home, specifically when she tries helping Tony with reading assignments.

The results of the most recent evaluation(s) of the student (Narrative):

Tony has displayed problem behaviors such as anger, use of profanity, inappropriate use of materials, refusal to work, and not paying attention during instruction. These behaviors occur throughout the day, but most often during unstructured times. On the WISC-III, Tony scored a 103 IQ which falls in the average range. In reading, Tony is currently below grade level, scoring a 76 on the WISC-III reading subtest, two standard deviations below his ability score. Tony has been participating in Tier 2 RTI services, but after 14 weeks did not respond to the intervention. Tony's reading comprehension skills are strong. In writing, Tony is currently behind the majority of his peers, scoring a 78 on the WISC-III writing subtest, two standard deviations below is ability score. Oftentimes, Tony participates in off-task behaviors during writing time and refuses to work. When given a scribe, Tony was able to stay on-task and was observed smiling and actively participating. In math, Tony is currently below grade level, scoring an 85 on the WISC-III mathematics subtest, one standard deviation below his ability score.

Special Factors (Section 2. Part 2)

The IEP team <u>must</u> consider the following for the student (<u>check boxes to indicate consideration</u>):

The communication needs of the student.

The need for assistive technology devices and services for the student.

The IEP team must consider the following for the student, <u>as appropriate</u> (check all that apply):

The use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and other strategies, to address behavior because the student has behavior that impedes his or her learning or the learning of others.

The language needs of the student because the student has limited English proficiency.

Braille instruction because the student is blind or visually impaired.

The mode of language and communication because the student is deaf or hard of hearing.

Section 2-B: Option I Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLAAFP)

After reviewing the student's progress in the general education curriculum and any prior special education goals and objectives, describe how the student accesses or makes progress in the general education curriculum based on grade level content standards for the grade in which the student is enrolled or would be enrolled based on age.

	Baseline Data	Impact and Resulting Needs
	Report and describe baseline data such as	Describe how the student's academic, developmental, and
	curriculum-based assessments, student work, teacher	functional needs affect involvement and progress in the general
	observations, parent input, and other relevant data for	education curriculum or participation in appropriate activities fo
	each area of need.	preschool students.
ACADEMIC/PRE-ACADE MIC ACHIEVEMENT	On the WISC-III, Tony scored a 103 IQ, which falls in the average range. This is a baseline for his academic ability.	Impact:
Individual and/or district-wide assessments.	average range. This is a baseline for his academic ability.	Tony has an ability level of 103, meaning that he has the cognitive ability to participate in the general education curriculum.
		Resulting Needs:
Considered, Not Applicable		None
GENERAL EDUCATION	On the STAR test, Tony scored a 1.2 grade level equivalency in	Impact:
CURRICULUM • A. Reading Involvement and progress	reading and a 1.4 grade level equivalency in reading comprehension. On the DAB-3, Tony scored a 1.0 grade level equivalency in word recognition and a 1.3 grade level in	Tony's difficulty in reading impacts his ability to be successful in all subjects of the curriculum. Although his reading comprehension
<i>in the general education</i> <i>curriculum, or</i> <i>participation in</i> <i>age-appropriate activities</i>	reading comprehension. On the WISC-III reading subtest, Tony scored a 76, two standard deviations below his ability score (103). Tony could comprehend at a higher rate than he could read the words. Tony participated in Tier 2 RTI interventions,	skills are relatively strong, he has poor reading fluency which affects his ability to recall main ideas and important details.
for preschool students.	but failed to make progress in the program. Tony's teacher reported that she thought Reading Recovery may help Tony to	Needs:
Considered, Not	grow in his reading, but the school recently cut funding for this	Necus.
Applicable	program. In an interview, Mrs. Hirsh reported that Tony does not like reading aloud because he often doesn't know words or stumbles through them.	Tony needs specially designed instruction in basic reading skills to continue making progress in the general education curriculum.
Narrative/Summary/Examir B. Math Involvement and progress	On the DAB-3, Tony scored a 1.8 grade level equivalency in mathematics reasoning and a 1.7 grade level equivalency in	Impact:
in the general education curriculum, or participation in	mathematics calculation. On the CTBS, Tony scored at instructional level (50%-89%) on the following skills: addition of 1-digit numbers, recognition of currency up to \$1, and cent	Tony's difficulty in math impacts his ability to be successful in the general education math curriculum.
age-appropriate activities for preschool students.	value of currency up to \$1. Tony scored at frustrational level (0%-49%) on the following skills: subtraction of 1, 2, 3 digit numbers, time telling to the nearest hour and half-hour,	Resulting Needs:
Considered, Not Applicable	multiplication, division, and recognition of shaded fractional parts.	Tony needs specially designed instruction in mathematics to continue making progress in the general education curriculum.
Narrative/Summary/Examir	ner Report:	
C. Written	On the DAB-3, Tony scored a 1.0 grade level equivalency in	Impact:
Expression <i>Involvement and progress</i>	spelling and writing: contextual language, a 1.1 grade level equivalency in capitalization and punctuation, and a 1.2 grade	Tony's difficulty in written expression makes it difficult for him to
involvement and progress	level equivalency in capitalization and punctuation, and a 1.2 grade	express himself at grade level in the general education curriculum a
	well with a scribe.	the same pace as his peers.
curriculum, or participation in	well with a scribe.	the same pace as his peers.
curriculum, or participation in age-appropriate activities	well with a scribe. During an observation, Tony refused to work during writing time. In an interview with Mrs. Hirsh, she stated that Tony has	the same pace as his peers. Resulting Needs:
<i>in the general education</i> <i>curriculum, or</i> <i>participation in</i> <i>age-appropriate activities</i> <i>for preschool students.</i> <i>Considered, Not</i>	well with a scribe. During an observation, Tony refused to work during writing	

		puoli	
Narrative/Summary/Examin	ner Report		

SECONDARY TRANSITION ASSESSMENTS	
Age-appropriate assessment related to training, education, employment, and independent living skills. (16 years)	
Considered, Not Applicable	

		·
COMMUNICATION/ SPEECH & LANGUAGE		Impact:
Considered, Not Applicable		Needs:
SOCIO-EMOTIONAL/ BEHAVIORAL Considered, Not Applicable	On the Home Version Behavior Disorders Identification Scale (BDIS) given to Tony's mom, Tony scored in the 2nd percentile. Four out of five subscales resulted in clinically significant standard scores, those subscales include: Learning/Self-Control, Interpersonal/Social, Inappropriate Behavior, and Unhappiness/Depression. On the School Version of the BDIS give to Tony's teacher, Tony also scored in the 2nd percentile. Four out of five subscales resulted in clinically significant standard scores, those subscales include: Learning, Interpersonal Relations, Inappropriate Behavior, and Physical Symptoms/Fear. Tony has demonstrated patterns of inattention during class. In an interview with Mrs. Hirsh, she shared that Tony is often off-task and has trouble paying attention during class. During an observation, Tony refused to work during writing time. Refusal started with a simple "no," but escalated to yelling. In an interview with Mrs. Hirsh, she shared that Tony has trouble interacting appropriately with peers. She shared that Tony has has problems chasing girls around the playground, using profanity, and quickly pointing out others' shortcomings. Incidence reports have also reported swiping materials off of peers' desks as well as tipping them when Tony did not get his way.	Impact: Tony's difficulty regulating his verbal comments, refusal to work in class, inappropriate peer interactions, as well as his challenges paying attention for a sustained period of time, adversely impact his ability to fully benefit from academic and social opportunities in the school setting. Resulting Needs: Tony needs to be taught self-regulating strategies in order to fully benefit from academic and social opportunities in the school setting. Tony would also benefit from Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS), which would teach him positive and appropriate behavior. As far as Tony's challenges paying attention, Tony may benefit from a variety of accommodations.
PERCEPTION/MOTOR/ MOBILITY <i>Gross and fine motor</i> <i>coordination, balance, and</i>		Impact: Needs:
limb/body mobility. Considered, Not Applicable		
INDEPENDENT LIVING SKILLS		Impact:
Skills for academic success and independent living. Considered, Not Applicable		Resulting Needs:
MEDICAL <i>Health, vision, hearing, or</i> <i>other physical/medical issues.</i>		Impact: Resulting Needs:
Considered, Not Applicable		

Include relevant graphs of individual student and peer group performance over time.

Section 4: Goal 1 Goals and Objectives/Benchmarks

Instructional Area of Need (from PLAAFP)—List the appropriate content area (e.g., strand/domain): *Reading- Basic Reading*

Michigan Content Expectations Upon Which Goal Will Be Based—List the appropriate GLCE, EGLCE, HSCE, EHSCE, or Early Childhood Standards of Quality for Pre-kindergarten or K-12:

Fluency: CCSS.ELA-LITERACY.RF.2.4.C

Use context to confirm or self-correct word recognition and understanding, rereading as necessary.

Baseline Data

•

The student is currently **reading at a 76** on the **WISC-III**. Tony can comprehend higher than he can read the words.

Annual Goal 1 (from PLAAFP)

By May 31st, 2017, when given a 2nd grade level text, the student will use context to confirm word recognition and understanding with 70% accuracy in 4/5 trials.

[By the date/end of, the student will be able to(behavior) at (criteria) on (assessment/evaluation task] Short Term Objectives (At lea	ast two per goal)	¹ Evaluation	2 Criteria	³ Schedule for Evaluation
-	the student will use context to erstanding with 40% accuracy when er in 3/5 trials.	Running records	40% accuracy in 3/5 trials	Biweekly
	e student will use context to confirm ng with 50% accuracy when given a 5 trials.	Running records	50% accuracy in 4/5 trials	Biweekly
3	student will use context to confirm ng with 60% accuracy when given a 5 trials.	Running records	60% accuracy in 4/5 trials	Biweekly
	udent will use context to confirm ng with 70% accuracy when given a i trials.	Running records	70% accuracy in 4/5 trials	Biweekly
[1] Evaluation 2 Criterion S Student's Daily Work % Accuracy D Documented Observation of Rate (cpm) R Rating Scale Achievement Level T Standardized Test (specify) Other (specify above) O Other (specify above) Other (specify above)		³ Schedule for E W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly gra O – Other (speci	ading	

Status of Objectives (update on progress at designated times)

Date	Status Obj.1	Status Obj. 2	Status Obj. 3	Comments

 [1] Evaluation S Student's Daily Work D Documented Observation R Rating Scale T Standardized Test (specify) O Other (specify above) 	² Criterion % Accuracy of Rate (cpm) Achievement Level Other (specify above)	 ³ Schedule for Evaluation: W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly grading O – Other (specify) 	 ⁴ Status of Progress on Objectives 1 Achieved/Maintained 2 Progressing at a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective 3 Progressing below a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective (explain above) 4 Not applicable during this reporting period 5 Other (specify above)
--	--	---	---

Position(s) responsible for implementing goal activities (check all that apply):						
<i>Special Education</i> <i>Teacher</i> School Social Worker	Teacher Consultant Occupational Therapist	Speech and Language Provider Physical Therapist				
Other:						
Position(s) responsible for <u>reporting progress</u> on goal: Special Education Teacher						

Section 4: Goal 2 Goals and Objectives/Benchmarks

Instructional Area of Need (from PLAAFP)—List the appropriate content area (e.g., strand/domain): *Writing- Written Expression*

Michigan Content Expectations Upon Which Goal Will Be Based—List the appropriate GLCE, EGLCE, HSCE, EHSCE, or Early Childhood Standards of Quality for Pre-kindergarten or K-12:

CCSS.ELA-LITERACY.W.1.5

With guidance and support from adults, focus on a topic, respond to questions and suggestions from peers, and add details to strengthen writing as needed.

Baseline Data

Tony is currently writing at a **1.1 grade level equivalency** on the **Writing Subtest of the STAR Test.**

Annual Goal 2 (from PLAAFP)

By May 31, 2017, when given a topic, Tony will use complete sentences with 80% accuracy in 4/5 trials as measured by daily writing assignments.

	¹ Evaluation	² Criteria	³ Schedule for Evaluation
Short Term Objectives (At least two per goal)			
1. By January 31, 2017, when given a topic, Tony will use complete sentences with 75% accuracy in 3/4 trials as measured by teacher observation and documentation.	Daily writing assignments	75% accuracy in 3/4 trials	Bi-weekly
2. By May 31, 2017, when given a topic, Tony will use complete sentences with 80% accuracy in 4/5 trials as measured by teacher observation and documentation.	Daily writing assignments	80% accuracy in 4/5 trials	Bi-weekly

 [1] Evaluation S Student's Daily Work D Documented Observation R Rating Scale T Standardized Test (specify) O Other (specify above) 	² Criterion % Accuracy of Rate (cpm) Achievement Level Other (specify above)	 ³ Schedule for Evaluation: W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly grading O – Other (specify)
--	--	---

Status of Objectives (update on progress monitoring at designated times)

Date	4 Status Obj.1	Status Obj. 2	Status Obj. 3		Comments
[1] Evaluation S Student's I Work D Document Observation R Rating Sca T Standardiz (specify) O Other (specify) O Other (specify)	Daily ed ale ed Test	of R	Accuracy ate (cpm) evement	 ³ Schedule for Evaluation: W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly grading O – Other (specify) 	 ⁴ Status of Progress on Objectives 1 Achieved/Maintained 2 Progressing at a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective 3 Progressing below a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective (explain above) 4 Not applicable during this reporting period 5 Other (specify above)

Position(s) responsible for <u>in</u>	Position(s) responsible for implementing goal activities (check all that apply):					
<i>Special Education Teacher</i> School Social Worker	Teacher Consultant Occupational Therapist	Speech and Language Provider Physical Therapist				
Other:						
Position(s) responsible for <u>reporting progress</u> on goal: Special Education Teacher						

Section 4: Goal 3 Goals and Objectives/Benchmarks

Instructional Area of Need (from PLAAFP)—List the appropriate content area (e.g., strand/domain): *Mathematics- Math Problem Solving*

Michigan Content Expectations Upon Which Goal Will Be Based—List the appropriate GLCE, EGLCE, HSCE, EHSCE, or Early Childhood Standards of Quality for Pre-kindergarten or K-12:

CCSS.MATH.CONTENT.2.OA.B.2

Fluently add and subtract within 20 using mental strategies.2 By end of Grade 2, know from memory all sums of two one-digit numbers.

Baseline Data

The student is currently at frustration level (0-49%) in the CTBS- subtraction 1, 2, and 3 digit numbers.

Annual Goal 3 (from PLAAFP)

By May 31st, 2017, the student will subtract within 20 using mental strategies with 90% accuracy in 4/5 trials when asked by the teacher and the teacher will record their observation.

[By the date/end of , the student will be able to (behavior) at (criteria) on (assessment/eval uation task] Short Term Objectives (At lea	st two per goal)	¹ Evaluation	² Criteria	³ Schedule _{for} Evaluation
• • • · ·	tudent will subtract within 10 using n 4/ 5 trials when asked by the teacher vation.	Curriculum Assessment	90% accuracy in 4/ 5 trials	Weekly
2. By May 31st, 2017, the stude mental strategies with 90% accuracy i and the teacher will record their obser	-	Curriculum Assessment	90% accuracy in 4/ 5 trials	Weekly
 [1] Evaluation S Student's Daily Work D Documented Observation R Rating Scale T Standardized Test (specify) O Other (specify above) 	² Criterion % Accuracy of Rate (cpm) Achievement Level Other (specify above)	³ Schedule for E W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly gra O – Other (specif	nding	

Status of Objectives (update on progress monitoring at designated times)

Date	4 Status Obj.1	Status Obj. 2	Status Obj. 3	Comments		
[1] Evaluati S Student's Work D Document Observation R Rating Sca T Standardiz (specify) O Other (spe above)	Daily ed ale ed Test	of R	Accuracy ate (cpm) evement	 ³ Schedule for Evaluation: W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly grading O – Other (specify) 	 ⁴ Status of Progress on Objectives 1 Achieved/Maintained 2 Progressing at a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective 3 Progressing below a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective (explain above) 4 Not applicable during this reporting period 5 Other (specify above) 	

Position(s) responsible for <u>implementing</u> goal activities (check all that apply):					
<i>Special Education</i> <i>Teacher</i> School Social Worker	Teacher Consultant Occupational Therapist	Speech and Language Provider Physical Therapist			
Other:					

Position(s) responsible for <u>reporting progress</u> on goal: **Special Education Teacher**

Section 4: Goal 4 Goals and Objectives/Benchmarks

Instructional Area of Need (from PLAAFP)—List the appropriate content area (e.g., strand/domain): **Socio-Emotional/Behavioral- Self-Regulation**

Michigan Content Expectations Upon Which Goal Will Be Based—List the appropriate GLCE, EGLCE, HSCE, EHSCE, or Early Childhood Standards of Quality for Pre-kindergarten or K-12:

None

Baseline Data

Tony is currently at a percentile of 2 on the Behavior Disorders Identification Scale (BDIS).

Annual Goal 4 (from PLAAFP)

By May 31, 2017, when feeling angry, Tony will breathe slowly and deeply, relax tense body areas, and ask calmly to be by himself for a few minutes if needed by following all 3 steps with 100% accuracy in 4/5 incidents.

Short Term Objectives (At lea	st two per goal)	¹ Evaluation	² Criteria	³ Schedule _{for} Evaluation
1. By May 31, 2017, when feeling angry, Tor accuracy in 4/5 incidents.		Points sheet for BIP	100% accuracy	Monthly
2. By May 31, 2017, when feeling angry, Ton in 4/5 incidents.	y will relax tense body areas with 100% accuracy	Points sheet for BIP	100% accuracy	Monthly
3. By May 31, 2017, when feeling angry, Ton minutes if needed with 100% accuracy in 4/5		Point sheet for BIP	100% accuracy	Monthly
 [1] Evaluation S Student's Daily Work D Documented Observation R Rating Scale T Standardized Test (specify) O Other (specify above) 	² Criterion % Accuracy ofRate (cpm) Achievement Level Other (specify above)	 ³ Schedule for W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly g O – Other (spe 	grading	

Status of Objectives (update on progress at designated times)

Date	⁴ Status Obj.1	Status Obj. 2	Status Obj. 3	Comments

[1] Evaluation ² Criterion S Student's Daily Work % Accuracy D Documented 6 Rate (cpm) Observation Achievement Level R Rating Scale T Standardized Test T Standardized Test Other (specify) O Other (specify above) Other (specify above)	 ³ Schedule for Evaluation: W – Weekly; D – Daily; M- Monthly; Q – Quarterly grading O – Other (specify) 	 ⁴ Status of Progress on Objectives 1 Achieved/Maintained 2 Progressing at a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective 3 Progressing below a rate sufficient to meet the annual goal for this objective (explain above) 4 Not applicable during this reporting period 5 Other (specify above)
---	---	---

Position(s) responsible for <u>implementing</u> goal activities (check all that apply):			
Special Education Teacher School Social Worker	Teacher Consultant Occupational Therapist	Speech and Language Provider Physical Therapist	
Other:			
Position(s) responsible for <u>r</u>	Position(s) responsible for reporting progress on goal: School Social Worker, Special Education Teacher		

Section 5: Supplementary Aids and Services

Supplementary aids and services are provided to enable the student:

- \cdot $\,$ To advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals.
- To be involved and progress in the general education curriculum and to participate in extra-curricular and other nonacademic activities.

 \cdot To be educated and participate in activities with other students with disabilities and nondisabled students.

Supplementary aids and services are needed at this time.			
Ongoing Instruction and Assessment Scheduling, Presentation, Response, etc.	Time/Frequency/Condition	Location	
Use of breaks	2X a day, one in the morning and one in the afternoon	Resource Room	
Curriculum Supports and Adjustments Directions, Grading, Handwriting, Assignments, Tests, Books, etc.	Time/Frequency/Condition	Location	
Repeat/Rephrase Directions	All assignments: throughout the day	In the classroom	
Visual supports	All assignments: throughout the day	In the classroom	
Text to speech	Any assignment that is over a five sentences.	In the classroom	
Tests read to student	All subjects	Resource Room	
Speech to text	Any assignment over 5 sentences.	In the classroom	

	, ,	
Supports and Modifications to the Environment Classroom Environment, Health-Related Needs, Physical Needs, Assistive Technology, Behavioral, Training Needs, Social Interaction Supports for the Student, etc.	Time/Frequency/Condition	Location
Behavior Intervention Plan in place	Ongoing	Throughout the day: classroom, resource room, cafeteria, recess
Alternative Location for tests	All tests	Resource Room
Other Supports, Accommodations, and Modifications	Time/Frequency/Condition	Location

All aids and services identified will begin on the implementation date of the IEP and continue for the duration of the IEP.			

Supplementary aids and services are not needed at this time.

Least Restrictive Environment

To the maximum extent appropriate, the student will be educated with students who are nondisabled; and special classes, separate schools, or other removal of the student from the general education environment occurs only when the student's needs cannot be met satisfactorily in general education with supplemental aids and services. Exception: *When receiving specialized instruction in the resource room*.

Tony becomes frustrated when given an assignment he does not like or when asked to read in front of the class. Tony needs to take tests free from distraction and away from peers. Tony needs to meet with a special education teacher to work on his behavior plan and learn to calm himself down when he is angry. He also responds well to positive reinforcement and will need breaks throughout the day to refocus.

Explain the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with nondisabled students:

When receiving specialized instruction in the resource room.

Section 6

.

Assessment Participation and Provisions

GENERAL EDUCATION ASSESSMENTS			
Content Areas	Accommodations		
List the content area(s) in which the student will be administered the general education assessment.	List the appropriate accommodation(s).		
M-STEP, all content areas	Text-to-speech (items and passages)		
M-STEP, all content areas	Time most beneficial to student		
M-STEP, all content areas	Breaks as needed		

ALTERNATE ASSESSMENTS

Content Area

List the content area in which the student will be administered an alternate assessment.

None

Need for Alternate Assessment	Assessment	Accommodations
State why the student cannot participate in the general education assessment.	State the alternate assessment that will be used and explain why it is appropriate.	List the appropriate accommodation(s).

Special Education Services and Programs

Related Service		Rule Number	Specific Amount of Time and Frequency	Location		Duration*
Social Work	Services	CFR § 300.34(c)(14)S	30 minutes 2 times a month	Social Wo Resourc	ork Office or e Room	06/01/2016- 05/31/2017
Does the student	Does the student have needs that require placement with a teacher with a particular endorsement? Yes No					
Program	Rule Number	Depart-mentalized	Specific Amount of Time and Frequency	Location		Duration*
Elementary Level Resource Program	340.1749a	Yes No	50 minutes 5 times a week	Resource Room		06/01/2016- 05/31/2017

* All programs and services listed above will begin on the implementation date of the IEP and continue for the duration of the IEP, unless otherwise indicated above in the column "Duration."

EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) SERVICES

Extended School Year (ESY) services were considered.

It was determined that no ESY services are needed.

Current annual goals address one or more skills that require ESY services.

INSTRUCTIONAL TIME				
General Education Instruction (minutes/hours per week)	(+) Special Education Instruction (minutes/hours per week)	(=) Total (minutes/hours per week)		
1725 minutes	+ 250 minutes	= 1975 minutes/ week		

EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

The district ensures that, to the maximum extent appropriate, the student will be educated with students who are nondisabled; and special classes, separate schools, or other removal of the student from the general education environment occurs only when the student's needs cannot be met satisfactorily in the general education setting with supplemental aids and services.

Participation in a Regular Early Childhood Program (students age 3-5)

At least 10 hours per week and:

receives the majority of special education and related services IN a regular early childhood program. receives the majority of special education and related services OUTSIDE of a regular early childhood program.

Less than 10 hours per week and:

receives the majority of special education and related services IN a regular early childhood program. receives the majority of special education and related services OUTSIDE of a regular early childhood program.

Participation in General Education (students age 6-26)

80% of the day or more 79% to 40% of the day

less than 40% of the day separate facility

SPECIAL TRANSPORTATION

Yes (specify): *No*